

**Application number:** 10/614,919

**Art Unit:** 3691

**Applicant:** Khai Hee Kwan

**Examiner:** Chuks Onyezia, Esq.

**Title:** System and method for conducting an electronic financial asset deposit auction over computer network.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

TO: Commissioner for Patents

Virginia 22313-1450

5

Dear Sir,

RE: AGREEMENT TO USE MAY 12 2009 Amendments.

10 I refer to the Final Rejection dated Sept 14 2010 and your email dated Oct 7, 2010 (see enclosed and as repeated below) agreeing to use May 12 2009 filing.

On Thu, Oct 7, 2010 at 8:28 AM, Onyezia, Chuks N.

<Chuks.Onyezia@uspto.gov> wrote:

15 > Examiner notes that Applicant requests that the 5/12/2010 amendment be considered and the 5/13/2010 amendment not be considered. Examiner will honor applicant's request and use the 5/12/2009 filing

20 (note there is a typo in first/second line -- 5/12/2010 and 5/13/2010 should be 5/12/2009 and 5/13/2009 respectively). Thank you.

Yours truly,



25 K H KWAN  
Applicant  
023336  
OCT 9, 2010



chris kwan &lt;ecorpnu@gmail.com&gt;

---

## Application 10/614919

---

chris kwan &lt;chris@advocatesolicitor.com&gt;

Thu, Oct 7, 2010 at 6:10 PM

To: "Onyezia, Chuka N." &lt;Chuks.Onyezia@uspto.gov&gt;

Cc: "Kalinowski, Alexander" &lt;Alexander.Kalinowski@uspto.gov&gt;

Dear Sirs,

Thank you all.

KH KWAN  
023336

On Thu, Oct 7, 2010 at 8:28 AM, Onyezia, Chuka N.

&lt;Chuks.Onyezia@uspto.gov&gt; wrote:

&gt; Examiner notes that Applicant requests that the 5/12/2010 amendment be considered and the 5/13/2010 amendment not be considered. Examiner will honor applicant's request and use the 5/12/2009 filing

&gt;

&gt; -----Original Message-----

> From: [ecorpnu@gmail.com](mailto:ecorpnu@gmail.com) [mailto:[ecorpnu@gmail.com](mailto:ecorpnu@gmail.com)] On Behalf Of chris kwan

&gt; Sent: Wednesday, October 06, 2010 7:21 AM

&gt; To: Kalinowski, Alexander

&gt; Cc: Onyezia, Chuka N.

&gt; Subject: Re: Application 10/614919

&gt;

&gt; Dear Sirs,

&gt;

&gt; As mentioned previously, your agreement to use the claims filed

&gt; 5/12/2009 going forward is requested.

&gt;

&gt; I will be filing my appeal this weekend and have almost finished my brief in response to the Examiner's response to claims filed 5/12/2009, I would be please if you could reply and confirm this. I will file all our communications to PAIR as record.

&gt;

&gt; Thanks

&gt;

&gt; KH KWAN

&gt;

&gt;

> On Tue, Oct 5, 2010 at 1:05 PM, chris kwan <[chris@advocatesolicitor.com](mailto:chris@advocatesolicitor.com)> wrote:

&gt;&gt; Dear Sirs,

&gt;&gt;

&gt;&gt; There are currently two (5/12/2009) and (5/13/2009) amendments on

&gt;&gt; record filed in 2009. Examiner Onyezia replied to the 5/12/2009

&gt;&gt; amendments but he also stated in the action letter page 2, that this

&gt;&gt; is 5/13/2009. I can take this as a typo ?

&gt;&gt;

&gt;&gt; On record, 5/13/2009 is a supplement to replace 5/12/2009. Now that

&gt;&gt; Examiner Onyezia confirms it is the 5/12/2009 that he has replied to

&gt;&gt; and in reply to your question below, I elect to use the 5/12/2009